



Hydrocortisone for Severe Community-Acquired Pneumonia

Key Article: Dequin PF, Meziani F, Quenot JP, et al. Hydrocortisone in severe community-acquired pneumonia. *N Engl J Med*. Published online March 21, 2023. Presented at the 2023 International Symposium on Intensive Care and Emergency Medicine.

Background

- Over 1.5 million adults are hospitalized for CAP annually, which is one of the top 10 causes of death in the US.
- Patients requiring mechanical ventilation have a particularly high mortality, which can reach up to 30% in severe cases.
- In addition to the primary infection, patients can also experience a severe inflammatory response leading to impaired lung function, gas exchange, and multiorgan failure which is why glucocorticoids have been so heavily considered as an important therapy to reduce mortality.
- To date, there are 7 RCTs have found positive non-mortality effects of steroids in severe CAP, but only one Italian trial reduced mortality (Confalonieri et al., AJRCCM 2005).
- We know steroids in Pneumonia is a controversial topic, so much so that the current ATS/ISDA guidelines recommend against steroids in severe CAP, but ESICM & SCCM guidelines favor their use.

Recent Literature

- Confalonieri (2005): 10 days of hydrocortisone reduced mortality in severe CAP in a 46 patient RCT
- Fernandez-Serrano (2011): Methylprednisolone improved resolution of hypoxia, but no difference in mortality in a 56 patient RCT
- Torres (2015): 5 days of methylprednisolone decreased incidence of progression to shock, need for invasive mechanical ventilation, or death within 72 hours of treatment in a 120 patient RCT.
- DEXA-ARDS (2020): 10 days of dexamethasone therapy decreased mortality in Non-COVID ARDS – in a 277 patient RCT

Objective:

- To evaluate whether hydrocortisone administration reduced mortality at 28 days among patients admitted to an intensive care unit (ICU) for severe community-acquired pneumonia.

Methods

- Double-blind, Randomized, controlled superiority trial of hydrocortisone versus placebo
- Location: 31 French centers between October 2015 – March 2020 (Stopped enrollment at COVID-19 outbreak)
- Patients
 - General Inclusion Criteria
 - Adults aged ≥ 18 years old
 - Diagnosis of pneumonia with clinical and radiographic criteria

- Severe pneumonia defined by requiring 1 or 4 criteria:
 - Mechanical Ventilation (invasive or noninvasive)
 - HFNC with a $\text{FiO}_2 \geq 50\%$ and $\text{PaO}_2:\text{FiO}_2$ ratio < 300
 - Non-rebreather mask with $\text{PaO}_2:\text{FiO}_2$ ratio < 300
 - Pneumonia severity index (PSI) > 130
 - Able to be randomized/receive allocated treatment within 24h of onset of severity criteria
 - Excluded patients with:
 - Do not intubate orders
 - Pneumonia caused by influenza (BUT They did include non-flu viral PNA)
 - Septic shock
- Trial Procedures
 - All patients received usual care for pneumonia (antibiotics, provider determined respiratory support)
 - Randomized 1:1 to either control or intervention
 - **Control Group:** received a blinded injection of placebo (saline) according to the same regimen used in the hydrocortisone group
 - **Hydrocortisone Group:** Received hydrocortisone treatment where dose/duration was *determined on Day 4* by predefined discontinuation criteria
 - **Short duration group (8 days):** 200mg x 4 days + taper (100mg x 2 days, 50mg x 2 days)
 - **Long duration group (14 days):** 200mg x 7 days + taper (100mg x 4 days, 50mg x 3 days)
 - Criteria used to determine hydrocortisone duration (8 vs. 14 days)
 - Spontaneously breathing patients
 - $\text{PaO}_2:\text{FiO}_2 > 200$
 - Day 4 SOFA score \leq Day 1 SOFA
 - High probability that patient will be able to be discharged from ICU by day 14.
- Primary outcome: Survival with favorable neurologic outcome (CPC score of 1 or 2) at 30 days
- Secondary Outcomes:
 - **Clinical outcomes:** 90d mortality, patients not progressing to mechanical ventilation, 28d incidence of endotracheal intubation initiation, 28d incidence of vasopressor initiation
 - **Adverse Events:** 28d incidence of hospital acquired infection, VAP, blood stream infection, GI Bleed, insulin requirements for hyperglycemia, weight change through hospital day 7.
- Sample size:
 - Power calculation: **1146 patients needed** to provide 80% power to detect a 25% relative risk reduction in mortality from a baseline of 27%
 - 800 patients enrolled before COVID, then trial paused; after COVID, the DSMB met July 2021 and recommended discontinuation of enrollment at planned second interim analysis because:
 - 1. They felt an additional 400 patients unlikely to change outcome to a negative trial
 - 2. As a result, they thought it would be unethical to continue to give placebo
 - 3. The prolonged suspension due to COVID-19 would adversely affect trial enrollment

Results

- Enrolled a total of 800 patients were randomized, 795 were included in final analysis
 - 400 received hydrocortisone

- 395 received placebo
- 5 patients excluded (FYI: 1 patient died, 2 withdrew, 2 were unknowingly prisoners)
- Demographics were well matched, as expected
 - Mean Age was 67 years old
 - ~ 70% male
 - Comorbidities (COPD, Diabetes, immunosuppression), Pneumonia Severity Index, and vasopressor requirements were similar
 - Most patients (>80%) had a PSI score of 4 or 5 (highest)
 - Pathogen detected in about 45% of patients, a majority were *S. Pneumoniae* (22%), and < 10% nonbacterial causes (viral, fungal pneumonias)
 - Respiratory support:
 - HFNC: 42%
 - NIV: 22%
 - Invasive MV: ~ 22%
 - NRB: 14%
- Primary Outcome: Hydrocortisone treatment decreased Death at 28d
 - **Hydrocortisone: 6.2% (95% CI: 3.9 – 8.5)**
 - Placebo: 11.9% (95% CI: 8.7 – 15.1)
 - ***P-value: 0.006***
- Secondary Outcomes
 - **No differences** in secondary clinical outcomes
 - **Adverse events:** higher cumulative insulin requirement in the hydrocortisone group
- Pre-defined subgroups that may benefit from hydrocortisone (worth warning that these are really small numbers, so could be due to random chance)
 - Patients not requiring mechanical ventilation
 - Women
 - High PSI score > 130
 - Age > 65

Limitations Identified by the Authors

- The observed mortality was much lower in the control group (11.9%) than expected (27%), indicating a lower severity of illness

Other limitations worth discussing or questions this trial did not answer:

- Significant under-enrollment (800 enrolled out of 1200 planned). This could have affected the trial outcome (Fragility index of 6)
- What steroid to use? Hydrocortisone vs. dexamethasone (COVID19, ARDS trials had (+) outcomes with different steroid), does it matter? Does it matter how the glucocorticoid is administered (infusion vs. intermittent dosing)?
- Septic shock patients were not enrolled since hydrocortisone is often used for refractory hypotension